conspiring to willfully export firearms, defense articles designated on the United States Munitions List, from the United States to Lebanon, without having obtained from the United States Department of State a license or written approval for the export of these defense articles, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371. As a result of his conviction, the Court sentenced El Mir to 18 months imprisonment and a \$200 assessment.

Pursuant to Section 1760(e) of the Export Control Reform Act ("ECRA"),¹ the export privileges of any person who has been convicted of certain offenses, including, but not limited to, 18 U.S.C. 371, may be denied for a period of up to ten (10) years from the date of his/her conviction. 50 U.S.C. 4819(e) (Prior Convictions). In addition, any Bureau of Industry and Security (BIS) licenses or other authorizations issued under ECRA, in which the person had an interest at the time of the conviction, may be revoked. *Id.* 

BIS received notice of El Mir's conviction for violating 18 U.S.C. 371 and has provided notice and opportunity for El Mir to make a written submission to BIS, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR" or the "Regulations"). 15 CFR 766.25.2 BIS has not received a written submission from El Mir.

Based upon my review of the record and consultations with BIS's Office of Exporter Services, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny El Mir's export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of El Mir's conviction. The Office of Exporter Services has also decided to revoke any BIS-issued licenses in which El Mir had an interest at the time of his conviction.<sup>3</sup>

Accordingly, it is hereby ordered: First, from the date of this Order until June 11, 2029, Nafez El Mir, with a last known address of 10630 Place De L'Acadie, Apartment 12, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H4N1A2, and when acting for or on his behalf, his successors, assigns, employees, agents or representatives ("the Denied Person"), may not directly or indirectly participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter

collectively referred to as "item") exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, license exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or engaging in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or from any other activity subject to the Regulations.

*Second,* no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export, reexport, or transfer (incountry) to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States:

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, pursuant to Section 1760(e) of the Export Control Reform Act (50 U.S.C. 4819(e)) and Sections 766.23 and 766.25 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to El Mir by ownership, control, position of responsibility, affiliation, or other connection in the conduct of trade or business may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order in order to prevent evasion of this Order.

Fourth, in accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, El Mir may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

*Fifth*, a copy of this Order shall be delivered to El Mir and shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Sixth, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until June 11, 2029.

# John Sonderman,

Director, Office of Export Enforcement. [FR Doc. 2022–07048 Filed 4–1–22; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DT–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-471-807]

Certain Uncoated Paper From Portugal: Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order; 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) preliminarily finds that sales of certain uncoated paper (uncoated paper) from Portugal were made at less than normal value during the period of review (POR) March 1, 2020, through February 28, 2021. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Applicable April 4, 2022.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Robert Scully, AD/CVD Operations,
Office V, Enforcement and Compliance,
International Trade Administration,
U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401
Constitution Avenue NW, Washington,
DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0572.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORM

# **Background**

On May 5, 2021, Commerce initiated an administrative review of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ECRA was enacted on August 13, 2018, as part of the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019, and as amended is codified at 50 U.S.C. 4801–4852.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Regulations are currently codified in the Code of Federal Regulations at 15 CFR parts 730–774 (2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Director, Office of Export Enforcement, is the authorizing official for issuance of denial orders, pursuant to recent amendments to the Regulations (85 FR 73411, November 18, 2020).

antidumping duty order on uncoated paper from Portugal, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). This review covers one producer/exporter of subject merchandise, The Navigator Company, S.A. (Navigator). For details regarding the events that occurred subsequent to the initiation of the review, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>3</sup>

Pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, Commerce determined that it was not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the 245 days and extended these preliminary results by 120 days, until March 31, 2022.<sup>4</sup>

## Scope of the Order

The products covered by the *Order* are certain uncoated paper products from Portugal. For a full description of the scope, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

# Methodology

Commerce is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act. We calculated constructed export price in accordance with section 772 of the Act. We calculated normal value in accordance with section 773 of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying these preliminary results, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. A list of topics included in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is included as an appendix to this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is made available to the public via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is available at https://access.trade.gov/public/ FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

# **Preliminary Results of the Review**

We preliminarily determine that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period March 1, 2020, through February 28, 2021:

Exporter/producer	average dumping margin (percent)
The Navigator Company, S.A	5.81

#### **Assessment Rates**

Upon completion of the final results of this administrative review, Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. If Navigator's weighted-average dumping margin is not zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.5 percent) in the final results of this review, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem antidumping duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importerspecific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is not zero or de minimis. If Navigator's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.5

In accordance with Commerce's "automatic assessment" practice, for entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Navigator for which it did not know that the merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate those entries at the all-others rate established in the original less-thanfair value (LTFV) investigation (*i.e.*, 7.80 percent) <sup>6</sup> if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.<sup>7</sup>

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

# **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the finals results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Navigator in the final results of review will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, de minimis within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for merchandise exported by producers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently-completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or the original LTFV investigation but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recentlycompleted segment of this proceeding for the producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 7.80 percent,8 the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### **Disclosure and Public Comment**

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties within five days after public announcement of the preliminary results. Interested parties will be notified of the deadline for the submission of case briefs at a later date. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than seven days after the date for filing case briefs. Parties who submit case

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Uncoated Paper from Australia, Brazil, Indonesia, the People's Republic of China, and Portugal: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Determinations for Brazil and Indonesia and Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 11174 (March 3, 2016) (Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 86 FR 23925 (May 5, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Uncoated Paper from Portugal, 2020–2021," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Memorandum, "Certain Uncoated Paper from Portugal: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," dated October 18, 2021.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

<sup>6</sup> See Order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

<sup>8</sup> See Order.

<sup>9</sup> See 19 CFR 351.224(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii) and 351.309(d)(1); see also Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension Continued

briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are encouraged to submit with each argument: (1) A statement of the issue; (2) a brief summary of the argument; and (3) a table of authorities. 11 Case and rebuttal briefs should be filed using ACCESS 12 and must be served on interested parties. 13 Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.14

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing must do so within 30 days of publication of these preliminary results by submitting a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance using Enforcement and Compliance's ACCESS system. 15 Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, whether any participant is a foreign national, and a list of the issues to be discussed. Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. 16 If a request for a hearing is made, Commerce intends to hold the hearing at a time and date to be determined. Parties should confirm the date and time of the hearing two days before the scheduled date. Parties are reminded that all briefs and hearing requests must be filed electronically using ACCESS and received successfully in their entirety by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on the due date.

## Verification

As provided in section 782(i)(3) of the Act, Commerce intends to verify the information relied upon in the final results. 17 Normally, Commerce verifies information using standard procedures, including an on-site examination of original accounting, financial, and sales documentation. However, due to current travel restrictions in response to the global COVID-19 pandemic, Commerce is unable to conduct on-site verification in this administrative review. Accordingly, we intend to verify the information relied upon for the final

of Effective Period, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (Temporary Rule).

- 11 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2) and (d)(2).
- 12 See generally 19 CFR 351.303.
- 13 See 19 CFR 351.303(f).
- <sup>14</sup> See Temporary Rule.
- 15 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).
- 16 See 19 CFR 351.310.
- <sup>17</sup> Commerce received a timely request to verify from Domtar Corporation, North Pacific Paper Company, and Finch Paper LLC (collectively, the petitioners). See Petitioners' Letter, "Uncoated Paper from Portugal: Petitioners' Request for Verification," dated August 12, 2021.

results through alternative means in lieu of an on-site verification.

### Final Results of Review

Unless otherwise extended, Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of the issues raised in any written briefs, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(1).

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

# **Notification to Interested Parties**

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: March 28, 2022.

#### Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

I. Summary

II. Background

III. Scope of the *Order* 

IV. Discussion of the Methodology

V. Product Comparisons

VI. Date of Sale

VII. Constructed Export Price

VIII. Normal Value

IX. Currency Conversion

X. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-07000 Filed 4-1-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **International Trade Administration** [A-433-813]

Strontium Chromate From Austria: **Final Results of Antidumping Duty** Administrative Review; 2019–2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Habich

GmbH (Habich) did not make sales of subject merchandise in the United States at prices below normal value during the period of review (POR) June 18, 2019, through October 31, 2020.

DATES: Applicable April 4, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jaron Moore or Brian Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office VIII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3640 or (202) 482-1766, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

On November 26, 2021, Commerce published the Preliminary Results of the 2019-2020 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on strontium chromate from Austria.<sup>1</sup> The administrative review covers Habich, the only company for which a review was requested. For the events that occurred since the Preliminary Results, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

## Scope of the Order<sup>3</sup>

The merchandise covered by this Order is strontium chromate from Austria. A full description of the scope of the Order is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

# **Analysis of Comments Received**

We addressed all issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs filed in this administrative review in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https:// access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Strontium Chromate from Austria: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2019–2020, 86 FR 67435 (November 26, 2021) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019– 2020 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Strontium Chromate from Austria," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Strontium Chromate from Austria and France: Antidumping Duty Órders, 84 FR 65349 (November 27, 2019) (Order).